Corridor 73-129
West Wamsutter Corridor

Corridor Purpose and Rationale
This short distance corridor in south central Wyoming provides a crucial link between multiple Section 368 energy corridors. The corridor connects Corridors 129-218 and 129-221 to Corridors 73-133 and 73-138. Input regarding alignment from multiple organizations\(^1\) during the WWEC PEIS suggested following this route. The recently authorized 500 kV Energy Gateway West transmission line follows the general path of the corridor but is not located within the corridor for any appreciable distance.

Corridor location:
Wyoming (Sweetwater Co.)
BLM: Rawlins Field Office
Regional Review Region: Region 4

Corridor width, length:
Width 3,500 ft
7 miles of designated corridor
14 miles of posted route, including gaps

Designated Use:
- corridor is multi-modal

Corridor history:
- Locally designated prior to 2009 (N)
- Existing infrastructure (N)
  - A 230-kV transmission line is within or adjacent to a portion of the corridor.
  - Multiple natural gas, crude oil, and refined product pipelines are within or adjacent to a portion of the corridor.
  - Highway I-80/U.S. Route 30 for less than a mile.
- Energy potential near the corridor (Y)
  - 1 substation is within 5 mi of the corridor.
- Corridor changes since 2009 (N)

Figure 1. Corridor 73-129

\(^1\) American Wind Energy Association, National Grid, PacifiCorp, Rocky Mountain Area Transmission Study, Western Utility Group, and Wyoming Natural Gas Pipeline Authority
Figure 2. Corridor 73-129 and nearby electric transmission lines and pipelines
Conflict Map Analysis

Figure 3 reflects a comprehensive resource conflict assessment developed to enable the Agencies and stakeholders to visualize a corridor’s proximity to environmentally sensitive areas and to evaluate options for routes with lower potential conflict. The potential conflict assessment (low, medium, high) shown in the figure is based on criteria found on the WWEC Information Center at [www.corridoreis.anl.gov](http://www.corridoreis.anl.gov). To meet the intent of the Energy Policy Act and the Settlement Agreement siting principles, corridors may be located in areas where there is potentially high resource conflict; however, where feasible, opportunity for corridor revisions should be identified in areas with potentially lower conflict.

Visit the 368 Mapper for a full view of the potential conflict map ([https://bogi.evs.anl.gov/section368/portal/](https://bogi.evs.anl.gov/section368/portal/))
Figure 4. Corridor 73-129, Corridor Density Map

Figure 4 shows the density of energy use to assist in evaluating corridor utility. ROWs granted prior to the corridor designation (2009) are shown in pink; ROWs granted after corridor designation are shown in blue; and pending ROWs under current review for approval are shown in turquoise. Note the ROW density shown for the corridor is only a snapshot that does not fully illustrate remaining corridor capacity. Not all ROWs have GIS data at the time this abstract was developed. BLM and USFS are currently improving their ROW GIS databases and anticipate more complete data in the near future.
Corridor Review Table

Designated energy corridors are areas of land prioritized for energy transmission infrastructure and are intended to be predominantly managed for multiple energy transmission infrastructure lines. Other compatible uses are allowable as specified or practicable. Resource management goals and objectives should be compatible with the desired future conditions (i.e., responsible linear infrastructure development of the corridor with minimal impacts) of the energy transmission corridor. Land management objectives that do not align with desired future conditions should be avoided. The table below identifies serious concerns or issues and presents potential resolution options to better meet corridor siting principles.

The preliminary information below is provided to facilitate further discussion and input prior to developing potential revisions, deletions, or additions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORRIDOR 73-129 REVIEW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POTENTIAL COMPATIBILITY ISSUES or CONCERNS TO EXAMINE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Jurisdiction: Rawlins Field Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Land Use Plan: Rawlins RMP (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other than the GRSG GHMA intersection discussed below, no issues related to resource intersections with the corridor in the Rawlins FO have been identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP 0 to MP 13 (entire corridor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Jurisdiction: Rawlins Field Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Land Use Plan: Wyoming GRSG ROD and ARMPA – March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRSG GHMA and the corridor intersect - The 2019 ROD/ARMPA indicates that collocating new infrastructure within existing ROWs and maintaining and upgrading ROWs is preferred over the creation of new ROWs or the construction of new facilities in all management areas. Existing designated corridors, including Section 368 Energy Corridors, will remain open in all habitat management areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Mileposts are rounded to the nearest mile.

² Siting Principles include: Corridors are thoughtfully sited to provide maximum utility and minimum impact on the environment; Corridors promote efficient use of landscape for necessary development; Appropriate and acceptable uses are defined for specific corridors; and Corridors provide connectivity to renewable energy generation to the maximum extent possible, while also considering other generation, in order to balance the renewable sources and to ensure the safety and reliability of electricity transmission. Projects proposed in the corridor would be reviewed during their ROW application review process and would adhere to Federal laws, regulations, and policy.
Additional Compatibility Concerns
The issues and concerns listed below are not explicitly addressed through agency land use plans or are too general in nature to be addressed without further clarification. Although difficult to quantify, the concerns listed have potential to affect future use and/or development within this designated corridor. The Agencies have provided a preliminary general analysis, shown below. The information below is provided to facilitate further discussion during stakeholder review.

Potential Corridor Revisions:
• At MP 10 to MP 13 shift the corridor 1,500 ft north to collocate with WPCI ROW 2 corridor (comment on abstract.)

Analysis: The corridor could be shifted north to follow existing infrastructure or south to follow the authorized Gateway West route. However, the corridor would have to take a sharp turn south to follow Colorado Interstate Gas line and join with corridor 73-133.

Cultural Resources:
• There could be potential cultural resource concerns in the Rawlins FO.

Analysis: Section 106 of the NHPA requires federal agencies to consider the effects of an undertaking on cultural resources.

Abstract Acronyms and Abbreviations
ARMPA = Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment; BLM = Bureau of Land Management; FO = field office; GHMA = general habitat management areas; GIS = geographic information system; GRSG = Greater Sage grouse; MP = milepost; NHPA = National Historic Preservation Act; PEIS = Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement; RFI = request for information; RMP = resource management plan; ROD = Record of Decision; ROW = right-of-way; USFS = U.S. Forest Service; VRM = visual resource management; WPCI = Wyoming Pipeline Corridor Initiative; WWEC = West-wide Energy Corridor.