Corridor 129-221
Wyoming I-80 Connector Corridor

Corridor Purpose and Rationale
The short corridor provides an east-west pathway for energy transport along Interstate 80, and provides a crucial link to multiple Section 368 energy corridors to create a continuous corridor network through southern Wyoming across BLM- and USFS-administered lands. Input regarding alignment from multiple organizations\(^1\) during the WWEC PEIS suggested following this route. There are no major pending ROWs for transmission line or pipeline projects within the corridor at this time. There is potential for future development within the corridor, subject to possible limitations from Interstate 80 and other infrastructure congestion.

Corridor location:
Wyoming (Sweetwater Co.)
BLM: Rawlins and Rock Springs Field Offices
Regional Review Region: Region 4

Corridor width, length:
Width 3,500 ft
8 miles of designated corridor
15 miles of posted route, including gaps

Designated Use:
• corridor is multi-modal

Corridor of concern (N)

Figure 1. Corridor 129-221

\(^1\) American Wind Energy Association, National Grid, PacifiCorp, Rocky Mountain Area Transmission Study, Western Utility Group, and Wyoming Natural Gas Pipeline Authority
Figure 2. Corridor 129-221 and nearby electric transmission lines and pipelines
Conflict Map Analysis

Figure 3 reflects a comprehensive resource conflict assessment developed to enable the Agencies and stakeholders to visualize a corridor’s proximity to environmentally sensitive areas and to evaluate options for routes with lower potential conflict. The potential conflict assessment (low, medium, high) shown in the figure is based on criteria found on the WWEC Information Center at www.corridoreis.anl.gov. To meet the intent of the Energy Policy Act and the Settlement Agreement siting principles, corridors may be located in areas where there is potentially high resource conflict; however, where feasible, opportunity for corridor revisions should be identified in areas with potentially lower conflict.

Visit the 368 Mapper for a full view of the potential conflict map (https://bogi.evs.anl.gov/section368/portal/)
Figure 4 shows the density of energy use to assist in evaluating corridor utility. ROWs granted prior to the corridor designation (2009) are shown in pink; ROWs granted after corridor designation are shown in blue; and pending ROWs under current review for approval are shown in turquoise. Note the ROW density shown for the corridor is only a snapshot that does not fully illustrate remaining corridor capacity. Not all ROWs have GIS data at the time this abstract was developed. BLM and USFS are currently improving their ROW GIS databases and anticipate more complete data in the near future.
Corridor Review Table

Designated energy corridors are areas of land prioritized for energy transmission infrastructure and are intended to be predominantly managed for multiple energy transmission infrastructure lines. Other compatible uses are allowable as specified or practicable. Resource management goals and objectives should be compatible with the desired future conditions (i.e., responsible linear infrastructure development of the corridor with minimal impacts) of the energy transmission corridor. Land management objectives that do not align with desired future conditions should be avoided. The table below identifies serious concerns or issues and presents potential resolution options to better meet corridor siting principles.

The preliminary information below is provided to facilitate further discussion and input prior to developing potential revisions, deletions, or additions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORRIDOR 129-221 REVIEW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POTENTIAL COMPATIBILITY ISSUES or CONCERNS TO EXAMINE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Jurisdiction: Rawlins Field Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Land Use Plan: Rawlins RMP (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other than the GRSG GHMA intersection discussed below, no issues related to resource intersections with the corridor in the Rawlins FO have been identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Jurisdiction: Rock Springs Field Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Land Use Plan: Green River RMP (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other than the GRSG GHMA intersection discussed below, no issues related to resource intersections with the corridor in the Rock Springs FO have been identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM Jurisdiction: Rawlins Field Office, Rock Springs Field Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Land Use Plan: Wyoming GRSG ROD and ARMPA – March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRSG GHMA and the corridor intersect - The 2019 ROD/ARMPA indicates that collocating new infrastructure within existing ROWs and maintaining and upgrading ROWs is preferred over the creation of new ROWs or the construction of new facilities in all management areas. Existing designated corridors, including Section 368 energy corridors, will remain open in all habitat management areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Mileposts are rounded to the nearest mile.
2 Siting Principles include: Corridors are thoughtfully sited to provide maximum utility and minimum impact on the environment; Corridors promote efficient use of landscape for necessary development; Appropriate and acceptable uses are defined for specific corridors; and Corridors provide connectivity to renewable energy generation to the maximum
extent possible, while also considering other generation, in order to balance the renewable sources and to ensure the safety and reliability of electricity transmission. Projects proposed in the corridor would be reviewed during their ROW application review process and would adhere to Federal laws, regulations, and policy.

Additional Compatibility Concerns

The issues and concerns listed below are not explicitly addressed through agency land use plans or are too general in nature to be addressed without further clarification. Although difficult to quantify, the concerns listed have potential to affect future use and/or development within this designated corridor. The Agencies have provided a preliminary general analysis. The information below is provided to facilitate further discussion during stakeholder review.

Potential Corridor Revisions:

- Relocate the corridor by shifting the corridor 2,500 ft north to follow WPCI ROW 2 corridor (comment on abstract).
- Relocate the corridor by shifting the corridor 3,200 ft north to follow WPCI ROW 2 corridor (comment on abstract).

*Analysis:* The corridor currently follows existing infrastructure. However, the corridor could be moved north as suggested to follow an existing pipeline that follows the WPCI ROW 2 corridor.

Cultural:

- Cultural resources could be a concern in the Rawlins FO.

*Analysis:* Section 106 of the NHPA requires federal agencies to consider the effects of an undertaking on cultural resources.

Abstract Acronyms and Abbreviations

ARMPA = Approved Resource Management Plan Amendment; BLM = Bureau of Land Management; FO = field office; GHMA = general habitat management area; GIS = geographic information system; GRSG = Greater Sage-grouse; MP = milepost; NHPA = National Historic Preservation Act; PEIS = Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement; RFI = request for information; RMP = resource management plan; ROD = Record of Decision; ROW = right-of-way; USFS = U.S. Forest Service; WPCI = Wyoming Pipeline Corridor Initiative; WWEC = West-wide Energy Corridor.